Stamps-smart Bombs To Streptococcus Mutans





Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry

College of Dental Sciences





Dr. S. Srinivasan Reader **Department of Periodontics**, Rajah Muthiah Dental College and Hospital Annamalai University

Abstract:

Plaque on the surface of the tooth consists of a bacterial film that produces acids as a by-product of its metabolism. To be specific, certain bacteria within the oral diseases, such as dental caries and periodontal disease, should be considered as consequences of ecologically driven imbalances of oral microbial biofilms. The ecological disruption resulting from antibiotic treatment frequently results in secondary infections or other negative clinical consequences. To address this problem, researchers have recently developed a new class of pathogen-selective molecules, called specifically (or selectively) targeted antimicrobial peptides (STAMPs), and based on the fusion of a species-specific targeting peptide domain with a wide-spectrum antimicrobial peptide domain.

Key Words: Biofilm- Specifically Targeted Antimicrobial Peptides; Streptococcus Mutans

Introduction:

Dental caries (tooth decay) is one of the most prevalent and costly diseases in the United States and throughout the world (Evans and Kleinman, 2000). Although the ultimate manifestation of the disease is the dissolution of tooth structure, the biological nature of the disease is a microbial infection caused primarily by the cariogenic bacterium Streptococcus mutans (S. mutans).1

Dental plaque as a microbial biofilm is defined as thediverse community of micro-organisms found on the toothsurfaces, embedded in an extra cellular matrix of hostand microbial polymers. The streptococci are the pioneerstrains in plaque formation and mutans streptococci are the main etiological agent of dental plaque and caries.²During dental plaque formation, some oral bacteria are early colonizers that express biochemical components allowing them to adhere effectively to specific tissues (teeth or periodontal tissue). The later colonizers often contain components that enable them to adhere to the early colonizers, bringing competitive advantages. Within an established dental plaque, specific bacterial species are often found located adjacent to each other or mixed together to form unique structures that may confer adherence or growth advantages.3

In complex biofilms, it is not merely the presence of a single organism, but the interactions between and among the biofilm residents that are crucial and determine the properties of a biofilm. As an example, in the presence of nearby base-producing bacteria, S. mutans in dental plaque may not be pathogenic. Thus, for dental caries, it is now recognized that this disease results not solely because of the presence of S. mutans or any single organism in dental plaque. Rather, it is the interaction of multiple acidproducing organisms such as S. mutans with other biofilm residents (Kleinberg, 2002; Marsh, 2005)³

Most current dental therapies are focused on eradicating the entire dental plaque via mechanical removal or broadspectrum antimicrobial treatments. However, the improved under- standing of oral microbial ecology, especially the importance of the balance between oral pathogens and commensal residents, has prompted interest in novel approaches focused on selective pathogen inhibition and modulation of the microbial composition of dental plaque to control pathogenesis.¹

Stamps:

A new class of targeted antimicrobials, called specifically (or selectively) targeted antimicrobialpeptides (STAMPs), constructed from short peptides that canbe chemically synthesized with high yields in vitro studies.STAMPs haveincreased killing potency, selectivity, and kinetics against targetedbacteria.

A typical STAMP molecule consists of two functionally independent moieties conjoined in a linear peptide sequence: a non- specific antimicrobial peptide serves as the killing moiety while a species-specific binding peptide comprises the targeting moiety that provides specific binding to a selected pathogen and facilitates the targeted delivery of the attached antimicrobial peptide. STAMPs have shown effective elimination of S. mutans from a mixed-species environment without affecting closely related non-cariogenic oral streptococci.¹

Sources Of Stamps:

A number of derivatives from natural products, such as cranberry constituents, plant lectins, crude extracts of

```
Stamps-smart Bombs To Streptococcus Mutans
                                  16
```

Vol:4, Issue:1 CODS - Feb 2012

Morusalba leaves, and fractions of barley coffee, have been shown to be effective against biofilm formation of S. mutans. These substances can regulate the activities of surface-anchored virulence factors glucosyltransferase and fructosyltransferase. Numerous small molecules, including anthraquinones, apigenin, tt-farnesol, chitosan, and 7-epiclusianone, have been characterized and shown to have antibiofilm activity toward S. mutans .However, none of them were reported to possess selectivity against S. mutans biofilms. The prevention and treatment approaches based on these existing methods tend to disturb the ecological balance between pathogens and commensal residents in the oral cavity, which may lead to more severe infections. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a new approach which can selectively inhibit pathogenic bacteria and biofilms. To achieve this selectivity goal, pursuit of small molecules based upon nitrogen-dense marine alkaloids, have designed numerous derivatives of marine natural products based primarily on the 2-aminoimidazole (2-AI) scaffold and have shown that these compounds are potent inhibitors of biofilm formation by both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria albeit the underlying mechanisms of biofilm inhibition/ dispersion are still under investigation.5

Antimicrobial Action:

It has been hypothesized that the rapid bactericidal activity of such peptides is based on aninitial binding to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from gram-negative bacteria⁶ although the details of this mechanism appear to vary widely .Previous observations have indicated the critical role of the general hydrophobic and cationic character of AMP function, including the significant contribution of aromatic Tryptophan and cationic Arginine residues found in many AMPs. Despite their small size (most are less than 50 amino acids), secondary structure also appears to play an important role in activity: certain linear AMPs can adopt an a-helical or b-strand confirmation upon interaction with hydrophobic environments such as detergents or lipid vesicles that mimic bacterial membranes, suggesting that these conformational changes are necessary for antimicrobial function.7

Stamps Clinical Implication:

Cariogenic organism S. mutans exhibits greatly reduced colonization in the presence of a preexisting salivaderived biofilms containing no or a minimal amount of S. mutans. Evidence for the protective effect of a "normal" oral biofilm in concurrence with the proposed "window of infection" identified based on previous clinical studies (Caufield et al., 1993).S. mutans colonization mainly occurs between the second to the third year of life. Thosewho were infected during this crucial time period acquired persistent S. mutans colonization, while uninfected individuals remained S. mutans-free until the eruption of secondary dentition provided new colonization opportunities. It is likely that these uninfected individuals formed a protective biofilm community void of S. mutans¹

Kreth et al., 2008 demonstrated that the sequence of inoculation determines whether cariogenic streptococcusmutans or the health-associated S. sanguinis (Corby et al., 2005; Corby et al., 2007) compete or coexist with one another. Both species can persist in a biofilm when inoculated at the same time, but given the chance to establish a biofilm first, either species can preclude colonization of the other.STAMP technology is a potentially useful method for removing S. mutans from saliva, thus establishing an oral flora that can help prevent or reduce further infection of S. mutans.¹

C16G2, a novel synthetic specifically targeted antimicrobial peptide with specificity for S. mutans. C16G2 consists of a S. mutans-selective 'targeting region' comprised of a fragment from S. mutans competence stimulation peptide (CSP) conjoined to a 'killing region' consisting of a broad-spectrum antimicrobial peptide (G2). In vitro studies have indicated that C16G2 has robust efficacy and selectivity for S. mutans, and not other oral bacteria, and affects targeted bacteria within seconds of contact.. C16G2 rinse usage was associated with reductions in plaque and salivary S. mutans, lactic acid production, and enamel demineralization. The impact on total plaque bacteria was minimal.⁸

Other Choice Of Agents, Approaches

In addition to 'traditional' agents,new approaches have been initiated to keep the oral biofilm 'healthy'. Many efforts are devoted to extracts from plants and natural products (Koo et al., 2005). Also, photodynamic therapyhas been developed, which combines a relatively inert dye that is photoactivated and then has bactericidal properties (Wood et al.,2006). Antimicrobial peptides in saliva and their synthetic analogues have promising potential (Helmerhorst et al., 1999)⁹

Replacement therapy has been researched for over 30 years, with cariogenic bacteria being modified to make them less acidogenic, while keeping their colonization-resistance properties (Hillmanand Socransky, 1987). Much of this work was started in the periodwhen most attention was given to the arch criminal of caries, Streptococcus mutans.⁹Animal experiments involving lactate-dehydrogenase deficientand ureolytic S. mutans strains have shown promising results in caries prevention (Clancy et al., 2000). In the former case, the approach is directed against single microbial species. However, one should not disregard the role of other microorganisms, such as non-mutans acidogenic streptococci, in the caries process in humans.¹

Stamps-smart Bombs To Streptococcus Mutans 17 Vol:4, Issue:1 CODS - Feb 2012 The use of probiotics, very established in the treatment of intestinal diseases, is now also considered for oraldiseases (Näse et al., 2001; Twetman and Stecksén-Blicks, 2008). Most attention is given to the lactobacillus species, in particularthose that produce bacteriocins. Obviously, this should be donewith great care, since lactobacilli also produce acids.

Biofilm research has disclosed that bacteria in a biofilm interact through peptides to regulate their metabolism. Quorum-sensing peptides are secreted, and when these exceed threshold concentrations, bacteria modify their physiology. Quorum-sensing peptide-likemolecules have been tested to upset bacterial 'societies' (Aharoniet al., 2008). For each of these promising new approaches, the questions remain how agents can be made cost-effective and how they can be formulated into usable products.¹⁰

References:

1. Li-na Liet alTargeted Antimicrobial Therapy AgainstStreptococcus mutans Establishes Protective Noncariogenic Oral Biofilms and Reduces Subsequent InfectionInt J Oral Sci, 2(2): 66–73, 2010.

2. ArezooTahmourespour et al Biofilm formation potential of oral streptococci in related to some carbohydrate substrates .African Journal of Microbiology Research Vol. 4 (11), pp. 1051-1056, 4 June 2010

3. X. He et al Achieving Probiotic Effects via Modulating Oral Microbial Ecology. ADR 2009 21: 53 originally published online 31 July 2009.

4. Randal Eckert et al Targeted Killing of Streptococcus mutansby a Pheromone-Guided "Smart" Antimicrobial Peptide.Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, Nov. 2006, Vol. 50, No. 11 p. 3651–3657

5. Chang LiuA New Small Molecule Specifically Inhibits the Cariogenic Bacterium Streptococcus mutansin Multispecies Biofilms .Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy June 2011, Vol. 55, No. 6, p. 2679–2687.

6. Nicholas Beckloff Activity of an Antimicrobial Peptide Mimetic against Planktonic and Biofilm Cultures of Oral Pathogens Antimicrobial Agents And Chemotherapy, Nov. 2007, p. 4125–4132 Vol. 51, No. 11

7. Jian He et al .Novel Synthetic Antimicrobial Peptides against Streptococcus mutansAntimicrobial Agents And Chemotherapy, Apr. 2007, vol. 51, no. 4, p. 1351–1358

8. R. Sullivana et al Clinical Efficacy of a Specifically Targeted Antimicrobial Peptide Mouth Rinse: Targeted Elimination of Streptococcus mutans and Prevention of Demineralization.Caries Res 2011;45:415-428.

9. J.M. 'Bob' ten Cate The Need for Antibacterial Approaches to Improve Caries Control ADR 2009 21: 8 originally published online 27 July 2009

10. Anne AamdalScheie The biofilm concept: consequences For future prophylaxis of oral diseases? Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 15(1):4-12 (2004)